Wisconsin Department of Revenue State and Local Finance Division Bureau of Property Tax

Grant County Presentation February 2, 2006

Equalization Section Chief

Mark Hanson

WEBSITE: WWW.DOR.STATE.WI.US

Topics of Discussion

- What is the "Equalized Value"?
- Why do we have "Equalized Value"?
- Uses of Equalized Values

What is the "Equalized Value"?

- Statistical Full Market Value Estimate of taxable property (except ag lands, undeveloped and ag woods) reported for each municipality in the State
- Reported by statutory taxable property classes

Equitable Tax Distribution

- Equalization process does not extend to individual parcels or items of taxable property
- Individual parcel/property tax equity is dependent on an equitable municipal assessment roll

Why do we have Equalized Value?

- Need for comparable value base to administer entire property tax system for levy purposes
- To maintain fairness and equity in property tax distribution

Uses of Equalized Value

- Property Tax Levy Distribution
 Counties, School Districts & Vocational Education Districts, State Reforestation Tax
- State Aids Allocation
- Debt limit Calculation
- Municipal Tax Rate Comparability
- Testing Municipal Asmt Equity
- Equating Manufacturing Property Asmts
- Levy Limit Calculations

Wisconsin Department of Revenue State & Local Financial Division

Grant County Presentation February 2, 2006

Local Governmental Services Section
Kenneth Schuck, Section Chief

Town of Harrison (22020)

Grant County

Taxing Jurisdiction: Grant County (22999)

1. Share Of County Taxes:

Tax Year Total County Tax Levy 2004 \$8,564,604 2005 \$8,993,629

Difference \$429,025

% Change 5.0093%

2. % Of Grant County:

| | | а | b | С |
|----------|-----|--------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| | Т | . Harrison | Grant County | % of Total Taxing |
| Tax Year | Equ | alized Value | Equalized Value | Juris EQ Value |
| | | | | = a / b |
| 2004 | \$ | 22,701,500 | \$1,967,825,300 | 1.1536% |
| 2005 | \$ | 30,200,200 | \$2,282,600,800 | <u>1.3231%</u> |
| | | | Difference | <u>0.1694%</u> |
| | | | | |

% Change 14.686379%

CAUSE OF CHANGE

A. Change in Tax Levy:

Tax Year Levy
2004 \$98,804.18
2005 \$103,753.55
A = \$4,949.37

% Change 5.009272%

B. Relative Value Change

Tax Year Value
2004 \$98,804.18
2005 \$113,314.93
B = \$14.510.75

% Change 14.686373%

C. Combined Value & Tax Changes:

Value \$429,025.00 Rate 0.169427%C = \$726.88

% Change 0.735677%

Total Change = A+B+C **\$20,187.00**

Grant County Levy

2004 \$98,804.18 2005 \$118,991.19

<u>\$20,187.02</u>

% Change 20.431341%

Taxpayer's Change in Taxes for Town of Harrison, Grant County

1. T. Harrison Share Of Grant County Taxes:

| Tax Year | Levy |
|----------|-------------------|
| 2004 | 98,804.18 |
| 2005 | <u>118,991.19</u> |
| | <u> 20,187.01</u> |

% Change 20.431332%

2. Sample Taxpayer Percentage Of The T. Harrison:

| • | A | | В | = A/B |
|----------|-----------------|-----|----------------|------------------|
| | Sample Taxpayer | Tov | vn of Harrison | % of |
| Tax Year | Assessed Value | Ass | sessed Value | Municipal Value |
| 2004 | \$100,000 | \$ | 17,266,700 | 0.5791495% |
| 2005 | \$100,000 | \$ | 16,585,900 | 0.6029218% |
| | | | | <u>0.023772%</u> |

% Change

4.104691%

Slide 10

CAUSE OF CHANGE

A. Change in Tax Levy:

Tax Year Levy
2004 \$572.22
2005 \$689.14
A = \$116.92

% Change 34.478%

B. Relative Value Change

Tax Year Value 2004 \$572.22 2005 \$595.71 B = \$23.49

% Change 4.105064%

C. Combined Value & Tax Changes:

Value \$20,187.01 Rate 0.023772%C = \$4.80

% Change 0.838838%

Total Change = A+B+C **\$145.21**

Grant County Levy

2004 \$572.22 2005 \$717.42 \$145.20

% Change 25.374666%

Town of Harrison (22020)

Grant County

Taxing Jurisdiction: School District of Lancaster (222912)

1. Share Of School Taxes:

Tax Year Total School Tax Levy
2004 2,638,517.00
2005 2,414,002.00
Difference (224,515.00)

% Change -8.509136%

2. % Of School District of Lancaster:

| | | а | | b | С |
|----------|--------|-------------|------|----------------|-------------------|
| | T. F | T. Harrison | | caster Schools | % of Total Taxing |
| Tax Year | Equali | zed Value | Ec | jualized Value | Juris EQ Value |
| | | | | | = a / b |
| 2004 | \$ | 168,552 | \$ | 268,755,863 | 0.062716% |
| 2005 | \$ | 265,478 | \$ | 308,135,850 | <u>0.086156%</u> |
| | | | Diff | erence | <u>0.023440%</u> |

% Change 37.375835%

Taxpayer's Change in Taxes for Town of Harrison, School District of Lancaster

1. T. Clifton Share Of School District of Lancaster:

| Tax Year | Levy |
|----------|---------------|
| 2004 | 1,654.76 |
| 2005 | 2,079.81 |
| | <u>425.05</u> |

% Change 25.686504%

2. Sample Taxpayer Percentage Of The T. Clifton/Lancaster Schools:

| · | A | В | = A / B |
|----------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Sample | Town of Clifton | % of |
| Tax Year | Assessed Value | Assessed Value | Municipal Value |
| 2004 | \$100,000 | 128,200 | 78.003120% |
| 2005 | \$100,000 | 145,800 | <u>68.587106%</u> |
| | | | <u>-9.416015%</u> |
| | | | |

% Change -12.071331%

Slide 13

Wisconsin Department of Revenue State & Local Financial Division

Grant County Presentation February 2, 2006

Equalization Section – Eau Claire District Office Thomas Janssen, District Supervisor

Selected Town % Changes 2004 to 2005

| | | 2004 | 2005 | \$ | % | % Change |
|--------------|----------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------|-----------|
| | | EQ Value | EQ Value | Change | Change | Apportnmt |
| T. of Harris | on | | | | | |
| | R.E. | \$22,409,700 | \$29,871,700 | \$7,462,000 | 33% | |
| | P.P. | <u>\$291,800</u> | <u>\$328,500</u> | <u>\$36,700</u> | 13% | |
| | Total | <u>\$22,701,500</u> | <u>\$30,200,200</u> | <u>\$7,498,700</u> | 33% | 15% |
| T. of Haze | l Green | | | | | |
| | R.E. | \$38,209,200 | \$46,378,600 | \$8,169,400 | 21% | |
| | P.P. | <u>\$788,700</u> | <u>\$834,600</u> | <u>\$45,900</u> | 6% | |
| | Total | <u>\$38,997,900</u> | <u>\$47,213,200</u> | <u>\$8,215,300</u> | 21% | 4% |
| T. of Hicko | ry Grove | | | | | |
| | R.E. | \$20,798,400 | \$23,230,200 | \$2,431,800 | 12% | |
| | P.P. | <u>\$421,500</u> | <u>\$460,800</u> | <u>\$39,300</u> | 9% | |
| | Total | <u>\$21,219,900</u> | <u>\$23,691,000</u> | <u>\$2,471,100</u> | 12% | 4% |

Grant Co. Twn-Vil-City % Change 2004 to 2005

| | | 2004 | 2005 | \$ | % | % Change |
|-------------|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------|-----------|
| | | EQ Value | EQ Value | Change | Change | Apportnmt |
| Town Total | s - Grai | nt County | | | | |
| | R.E. | \$845,867,500 | \$1,053,369,800 | \$207,502,300 | 25% | |
| | P.P. | <u>\$13,743,300</u> | <u>\$17,879,000</u> | <u>\$4,135,700</u> | 20% | |
| | Total | <u>\$859,610,800</u> | <u>\$1,071,248,800</u> | <u>\$211,638,000</u> | 25% | 7% |
| Village Tot | lals - Gr | lant Countγ | | | | |
| , , | R.E. | \$306,866,500 | \$333,803,300 | \$26,936,800 | 9% | |
| | P.P. | <u>\$5,747,600</u> | \$5,850,200 | <u>\$102,600</u> | 2% | |
| | Total | <u>\$312,614,100</u> | <u>\$339,653,500</u> | <u>\$27,039,400</u> | 9% | -7% |
| City Totals | - Grant | L t Countγ | | | | |
| | R.E. | \$788,359,200 | \$871,006,800 | \$82,647,600 | 10% | |
| | P.P. | \$24,953,100 | <u>\$25,939,500</u> | <u>\$986,400</u> | 4% | |
| | Total | \$813,312,300 | \$896,946,300 | \$83,634,000 | 10% | -5% |
| T | . ^ | | | | | |
| Totals - Gr | | | | | | |
| | R.E. | \$1,941,093,200 | \$2,258,179,900 | \$317,086,700 | 16% | |
| | P.P. | <u>\$44,444,000</u> | <u>\$49,668,700</u> | <u>\$5,224,700</u> | 12% | |
| | Total | <u>\$1,985,537,200</u> | <u>\$2,307,848,600</u> | <u>\$322,311,400</u> | 16% | |

Town of Harrison Full Values 100% Res, 34.9% Res, 30.5% Res, 30.5% 90% 80% Com, 0.3% Com, 0.3% Com, 0.5% 70% Agr. 13.5% Agr, 12.3% Agr, 9.2% 60% Pers. 1.3% Pers, 1.1% Pers. 0.6% □ Res 50% Forest5M, 55.7% ■ Com Forest5M, 55.1% Forest5M, 54.4% 40% Agr Pers 30% ■ Forest5M 20% 10% 0% 2003 2004 2005 30.5% 30.5% 34.9% □ Res 0.3% 0.5% □ Com 0.3% Agr 13.5% 12.3% 9.2% 0.6% 1.3% 1.1% Pers 55.1% 55.7% ■ Forest5M 54.4%

Years 2003, 2004, 2004

Major Components of Equalized Value Change

- Economic Adjustment
- New Construction
- All Other
 - Field review
 - Assessor's Final Report
 - s.70.57 Corrections

Town of Harrison - Change Report by Property Class

| | 2004 | Econ % | New Const. | All Other | 2005 | Total | % |
|------|--------------------|--------|------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|--------|
| | EQ Value | Change | % Change | % Change | EQ Value | Change | Change |
| Res | | | | | , p | | |
| Land | \$685,100 | 0% | 0% | 176% | \$1,887,900 | \$1,202,800 | 176% |
| lmp | <u>\$6,230,600</u> | 0% | 4% | 35% | <u>\$8,641,500</u> | \$2,410,900 | 39% |
| | <u>\$6,915,700</u> | 0% | 3% | 49% | <u>\$10,529,400</u> | <u>\$3,613,700</u> | 52% |
| Comm | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$10,100 | 10% | 0% | 106% | \$21,800 | \$11,700 | 116% |
| lmp | <u>\$56,400</u> | 10% | 0% | 101% | <u>\$118,900</u> | <u>\$62,500</u> | 111% |
| | <u>\$66,500</u> | 10% | 0% | 102% | <u>\$140,700</u> | <u>\$74,200</u> | 112% |
| Mfg | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$0 | 0% | 0% | 0% | \$0 | \$0 | 0% |
| lmp | <u>\$0</u> | 0% | 0% | 0% | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | 0% |
| | <u>\$0</u> | 0% | 0% | 0% | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | 0% |
| Ag | | | | | | | |
| Land | <u>\$2,788,100</u> | -1% | 0% | 0% | <u>\$2,775,300</u> | <u>-\$12,800</u> | 0% |

Town of Harrison - Change Report by Property Class

| | EQ Value | | New Const. | All Other | 2005 | Total | % |
|--------|--------------------|--------|------------|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|--------|
| | FR Agine | Change | % Change | % Change | EQ Value | Change | Change |
| Und | | | - | | | - | |
| Land | <u>\$186,000</u> | 15% | 0% | -35% | <u>\$147,400</u> | <u>-\$38,600</u> | -21% |
| Ag F | | | | | | | |
| Land | <u>\$262,600</u> | 33% | 0% | 435% | <u>\$1,492,200</u> | <u>\$1,229,600</u> | 468% |
| Forest | | | | | | | |
| Land | <u>\$2,834,900</u> | 33% | 0% | -88% | <u>\$1,292,400</u> | <u>-\$1,542,500</u> | -54% |
| Other | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$2,142,000 | 10% | 1% | 0% | \$2,379,300 | \$237,300 | 11% |
| lmp | \$7,213,900 | 11% | 2% | 40% | \$11,115,000 | \$3,901,100 | 54% |
| | <u>\$9,355,900</u> | 11% | 2% | 31% | <u>\$13,494,300</u> | <u>\$4,138,400</u> | 44% |
| Totals | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$8,908,800 | 14% | 0% | -2% | \$9,996,300 | \$1,087,500 | 12% |
| lmp | \$13,500,900 | 6% | 3% | 38% | \$19,875,400 | \$6,374,500 | 47% |
| \$ | \$22,409,700 | 9% | 2% | 22% | <u>\$29,871,700</u> | <u>\$7,462,000</u> | 33% |

Economic Adjustment

- DOR annual review of RE sales.
- Use / Reject determination.
- Assessment / Sales ratio analysis (SAS).
 - Statistical projection (residential, commercial, other).
- Fielded Sales Analysis (FSAS)
 - 38 acres +
 - Unit value projection (undeveloped, agric. forest, forest, other land).
- Use Value units (agricultural).

Residential Sales Analysis

TOWN OF HARRISON

2005 RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT LEVEL=56.90%

| 2005 Sales | Sale Date | Parcel Number | Assessed Value | Sales Price | <u>Ratio</u> |
|-------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | 08/05 | 20-856-0000 | 70,000 | 200,000 | 35.00% |
| | | | | | |
| 2004 Salaa | Sala Data | Daraal Number | Accessed Value | Salaa Briga | Dotio |
| 2004 Sales | Sale Date | Parcel Number | Assessed Value | Sales Price | <u>Ratio</u> |
| | 09/04 | 20-362-002 | 68,200 | 149,900 | 45.50% |
| | 04/04 | 20-226-010 | 110,000 | 140,000 | 78.57% |
| | | | 178,200 | 289,900 | 61.47% |
| | | | | | |
| 2003 Sales | Sale Date | Parcel Number | Assessed Value | Sales Price | <u>Ratio</u> |
| | 09/03 | 20-715-000 | 40,500 | 90,000 | 45.00% |
| | 07/03 | 20-856-000 | 70,000 | 144,000 | 48.61% |
| | 05/03 | 20-593-010 | 82,500 | 98,000 | 84.18% |
| | | | 193,000 | 332,000 | 58.13% |
| | | | | | |

Grant Co. Equalized Units (\$/Ac.)

| <u>2004 Sa</u> | 2005 Equalized | |
|----------------|----------------|------------|
| Agric (Till) | | 214 / Ac |
| Agric (Past) | | 65 / Ac |
| Agric (Total) | 2,159 / Ac | 154 / Ac |
| Fallow | | 955 / Ac |
| Undevel. | 609 / Ac | 200 / Ac |
| Agric. Forest | | 873 / Ac |
| Forest | 1,762 / Ac | 1,771 / Ac |
| Other (site) | 8,350 / Ac | 7,679 / Ac |

Assessor's Final Report

- New Construction (includes remodeling and partial construction)
- Demolition
- Shifts in classification
- Annexations
- Change in exempt status
- Personal property

Field Review – Procedures (1)

- DOR check/audit of equalized values.
- Typically 6-7 year county cycle.
- Grant County
 - All districts applied in 2005
 - Villages/Cities field work 2003
 - Towns field work 2004
 - Completed by experienced DOR appraisers
 - Previous field review 1992

Field Review – Procedures (2)

- Samples extracted from assessment rolls
 - For residential, commercial, and other improvements.
 - 15% of class improvements (20 50 for residential and other).
 - 15% of class improvements (10 30 for commercial)
 - Past three years of sales included
 - No sample if sufficient sales in past three years
- Assessor Property Record Card's
- View sales/sample in field (commercial interior inspection)

Field Review – Procedures (3)

- Vol. II cost manual residential & other
- Marshall & Swift manual commercial
- Market adjustments derived from sales
- RCNLD modified by market adjustments
- Land values based upon vacant sales.
- Asmt/Sales or Sample Value = Ratio
- Class values projected from average ratio.

| | Technician: Date Entered: District: CODE: | 11/26/04 T. HARRISON | | MONTH: COUNTY: | 0 GRANT | | YEAR: 8 | 2004 RESIDENTIAL | | ASSESSM | APPRAISER: MENT YEAR: | JEANNE PENI 2004 |
|-------------|--|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| D. NA | ME/PID | Date | Price | Land | lmp | Land | Imp | Total | Total | LAND | IMP. | TOTAL |
| 10 404 | | | | 2,000 | 41,700 | 16,300 | 87,000 | 43,700 | 103,900 | 11.83% | 47.93% | 42. |
| 14 510 | | | | 10,700 | 12,700 | 36,000 | 18,000 | 23,400 | 54,000 | 29.72% | 70.56% | 43 |
| 9 286 | | | | 7,600 | 29,500 | 22,200 | 63,300 | 37,100 | 85,500 | 34.23% | 46.60% | 43 |
| 2 715 | | Sep-03 | 91,300 | 3,100 | 37,400 | 16,000 | 75,300 | 40,500 | 91,300 | 19.38% | 49.67% | 44 |
| 3 856 | | Jul-03 | 147,600 | 7,000 | 63,000 | 16,300 | 130,700 | 70,000 | 147,600 | 41.42% | 48.20% | 47 |
| 18 685-0010 | | | | 7,000 | 93,000 | 16,900 | 172,600 | 100,000 | 189,500 | 41.42% | 53.88% | 52 |
| 6 222 | | | | 7,000 | 51,900 | 16,900 | 32,200 | 58,900 | 109,100 | 41.42% | 56.29% | 53 |
| 13 497 | | | | 7,000 | 48,300 | 16,900 | 84,100 | 55,300 | 101,000 | 41.42% | 57.43% | 5- |
| 24 670 | | | | 7,000 | 91,100 | 16,900 | 161,100 | 98,100 | 178,000 | 41.42% | 56.55% | 5 |
| 20 805 | | | | 7,000 | 89,700 | 16,900 | 157,100 | 96,700 | 174,000 | 41.42% | 57.10% | 5 |
| 16 593 | | | | 7,000 | 76,100 | 16,900 | 132,400 | 83,100 | 149,300 | 41.42% | 57.48% | 55 |
| 8 260 | | | | 9,000 | 75,300 | 29,300 | 121,300 | 84,300 | 151,200 | 30,72% | 61,77% | 5 |
| 12 103 | | | | 10,500 | 88,000 | 33,300 | 143,100 | 98,500 | 176,400 | 31.53% | 61,50% | 55 |
| 26 837 | | | | 7,200 | 39,800 | 18,400 | 65,000 | 47,000 | 83,400 | 39,13% | 61,23% | 50 |
| 15 527-0010 | | | | 5,200 | 82,200 | 12,600 | 139,100 | 87,400 | 151,700 | 41.27% | 59.09% | 5 |
| 5 109-0020 | | | | 3,600 | 51,500 | 9,100 | 85,500 | 55,100 | 94,600 | 39,56% | 60.23% | 58 |
| 4 37 | | | | 7,000 | 59,300 | 16,900 | 96,100 | 66,300 | 113,000 | 41.42% | 61,71% | 58 |
| 17 620 | | | | 7,000 | 23,500 | 16,300 | 34,200 | 30,500 | 51,100 | 41.42% | 68,71% | 58 |
| 19 693 | | | | 11,000 | 107,400 | 37,300 | 134,300 | 118,400 | 172,200 | 29,49% | 79,61% | 68 |
| 1 593-0010 | | May-03 | 101,400 | 8,900 | 73,600 | 28,800 | 72,600 | 82,500 | 101,400 | 30.90% | 101.38% | 8 |
| 7 226-0010 | | may ou | 101,400 | 7,500 | 102,500 | 21,100 | 105,700 | 110,000 | 126,800 | 35.55% | 96,97% | 8 |
| TOTAL | | | | 149,300 | 1,337,500 | 433,100 | 2,171,900 | 1,486,800 | 2,605,000 | 34,47% | 61.58% | 5 |
| CLASS Vs. 3 | SAIVIPLE COMPA | son: | | Land | Improved | | | l otal | | | AGGREGATE: | , |
| | | Asmt. Value = | | 650,800 | 5,185,100 | | | 5,835,900 | | | MEAN: | 51 |
| | Perc | ent of Value = | | 22.9% | 25.8% | | | 25.5% | | | MEDIAN: | 55 |
| | S/ | MPLE SIZE = | 21 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Parcel Count = | | 100 | 90 | | | | | | PRD: | 93 |
| | | ent of Count = | | 21.0% | 23.3% | | | | | | COD (calc): | 1 |
| | D FULL VALUE B JE OF CLASS = | Y AGGREGRAT | E RATIO = | | | \$1,887,900 \$685,100 | \$8,419,800 \$6,230,600 | | \$10,307,700 \$6,915,700 | | Sales Only | Non_Sale |
| | D | ollar Change = | | | | \$1,202,800 | \$2,189,200 | _ | \$3,392,000 | Mean: | 57.72% | 56 |
| | Per | cent Change = | | | | 175.57% | 35.14% | | 49.05% | Count: | 3 | |
| CLASS RAT | ΓΙΟ = | - | | | | 34.47% | 61.58% | | 56.62% | | | |
| | Fotal Class Projec | tion % change by | Median ratio = | | | | | | 51.61% | = % Chg clas | s bu Median | |

| | CODE: 020 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------|--------|---------|------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| SAMPLE | | Sale | Sale | Assessment | Appraisal | | RATIO | | | | | |
| NO. | NAME/PIC | Date | Price | Total | Total | LAND | IMP. | TOTAL | | | | |
| 10 | 404 | | | 43,700 | 103,900 | 11.83% | 47.93% | 42.06% | | | | |
| | 510 | | | 23,400 | 54,000 | 29.72% | 70.56% | 43.33% | | | | |
| | 286 | | | 37,100 | 85,500 | 34.23% | 46.60% | 43.39% | | | | |
| | 715 | Sep-03 | 91,300 | 40,500 | 91,300 | 19.38% | 49.67% | 44.36% | | | | |
| 3 | 856 | Jul-03 | 147,600 | 70,000 | 147,600 | 41.42% | 48.20% | 47.43% | | | | |
| | 685-0010 | | | 100,000 | 189,500 | 41.42% | 53.88% | 52.77% | | | | |
| 6 | 222 | | | 58,900 | 109,100 | 41.42% | 56.29% | 53,99% | | | | |
| | 497 | | | 55,300 | 101,000 | 41.42% | 57.43% | 54.75% | | | | |
| 24 | 670 | | | 98,100 | 178,000 | 41.42% | 56.55% | 55.11% | | | | |
| 20 | 805 | | | 96,700 | 174,000 | 41.42% | 57.10% | 55.57% | | | | |
| 16 | 593 | | | 83,100 | 149,300 | 41.42% | 57.48% | 55.66% | | | | |
| 8 | 260 | | | 84,300 | 151,200 | 30.72% | 61.77% | 55.75% | | | | |
| 12 | 103 | | | 98,500 | 176,400 | 31.53% | 61.50% | 55.84% | | | | |
| 26 | 837 | | | 47,000 | 83,400 | 39.13% | 61.23% | 56.35% | | | | |
| 15 | 527-0010 | | | 87,400 | 151,700 | 41.27% | 59.09% | 57.61% | | | | |
| 5 | 109-0020 | | | 55,100 | 94,600 | 39.56% | 60.23% | 58.25% | | | | |
| 4 | 37 | | | 66,300 | 113,000 | 41.42% | 61.71% | 58.67% | | | | |
| 17 | 620 | | | 30,500 | 51,100 | 41.42% | 68.71% | 59.69% | | | | |
| 19 | 693 | | | 118,400 | 172,200 | 29.49% | 79.61% | 68.76% | | | | |
| | 593-0010 | May-03 | 101,400 | 82,500 | 101,400 | 30.90% | 101.38% | 81.36% | | | | |
| 7 | 226-0010 | | | 110,000 | 126,800 | 35.55% | 96.97% | 86.75% | | | | |
| | TOTAL | | | 1,486,800 | 2,605,000 | 34.47% | 61.58% | 57.07% | | | | |
| | 1 | | 1 | 1 | | I I | 1 | | | | | |

| DISP | ERSION ANALYSIS Technician: SHARON Date Entered: 11/26/04 | T. HARRISON | MONTH: | 0 | | YEAR: | 2004 | | AP | PRAISER: | J. PENDL _ |
|------------|--|----------------|---|-------|--|-----------|--|--|-----------------|--|--|
| | District: T. HARRISON CODE: 020 | | COUNTY: | GRANT | | CLASS: | RESIDENTIAL | | ASSESSME | ENT YEAR: | 2004 |
| SAMPLE | Sale | Sale | Asses | sment | Appr | aisal | Assessment | Appraisal | | RATIO | |
| <u>NO.</u> | NAME/PID Date | Price | Land | Imp | Land | Imp | Total | Total | LAND | IMP. | TOTAL |
| | TOTAL CLASS vs. SAMPLE Comparis Total Class Asmt. Value = Percent of Value = SAMPLE SIZE = Total Parcel Count = Percent of Count = | : : : 21 | 149,300 Land 650,800 22.9% 100 21.0% | 90 | | 2,171,900 | 1,486,800 Total 5,835,900 25.5% | 2,605,000 | _ | 61.58% GGREGATE MEAN: MEDIAN: PRD: OD (calc): | 57.07% 57.07% 56.55% 55.66% 99.07% |
| Total | PROJECTED FULL VALUE BY BASE VALUE OF CLASS = Dollar Change = Percent Change = CLASS RATIO = Class Projection % change by | : | : RATIO = | | \$1,887,900 \$685,100 \$1,202,800 175.57% 34.47% | 35.14% | | \$10,307,700 \$6,915,700 \$3,392,000 49.05% 56.62% 51.61% | Mean: Count: | Sales Onlyl 57.72% 3 lass by Med | 56.35% 18 |

COUNTY: GRANT CLASS: RESIDENTIAL ASSESSMENT YEAR: 2004 **RATIO** Assessment Appraisal Assessment Appraisal LAND Imp Total Total **IMP** TOTAL Land Land Imp 149.300 1,337,500 433,100 2.171.900 1,486,800 2,605,000 34.47% 57.07% 61.58% **AGGREGATE** 57.07% Land Improved Total 650,800 5,185,100 5.835.900 MEAN: 56.55% 22.9% 25.89 25.5% MEDIAN: 55.66% 21 100 PRD: 99.07% 23.39 21.0% COD (calc): 12.51% AGGREGRATE RATIO \$1,887,900 \$8,419,800 \$10,307,700 \$685,100 \$6,230,600 \$6,915,700 Sales Only Non Sales \$1,202,800 \$2,189,200 Mean: 57.72% 56.35% J3Z,UU0 175.57% 35.14% 49.05% 18 Count: 34.47% 61.58% 56.62% 51.61% = % Chg class by Median

Town of Harrison - Commercial Property Class

| DISPERS | SION ANA | LYSIS | T. HARRIS | ON | | | | _ | _ | | |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|--------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| | Technician: | | MONTH: | 0 | | YEAR: | 2004 | | API | PRAISER: | J. PENDL |
| Dat | te Entered: | | COLINTY | CDANT | | CL ACC: | COMMEDICAL | | ACCECCME | NT VEAD: | 2004 |
| | CODE: | T. HARRISON 020 | COUNTY: | GRANI | | CLASS. | COMMERCIAL | - | ASSESSME | NI YEAR. | 2004 _ |
| SAMPLE | | Sale | Asse: | ssment | Appr | raisal | Assessment | Appraisal | | RATIO | |
| NO. | NAME/PID | Date | Land | Imp | Land | lmp | Total | Total | LAND | IMP. | TOTAL |
| 1 | 183 | | 3,000 | 43,200 | 8,400 | 93,200 | 46,200 | 101,600 | 35.71% | 46.35% | 45.47% |
| 2 | 640 | | 8,000 | 18,000 | 12,400 | 20,000 | 26,000 | 32,400 | 64.52% | 90.00% | 80.25% |
| | TOTAL | | 11,000 | 61,200 | 20,800 | 113,200 | 72,200 | 134,000 | 52.88% | 54.06% | 53.88% |
| CLAS | SS vs. SAMI | PLE Comparison: | Land | Improved | | | Total | | AG | GREGATE | 53.88%_ |
| | Total Clas | ss Asmt. Value = | 11,000 | 61,200 | | | 72,200 | | | MEAN: | 5.99%_ |
| | P6 | ercent of Value = | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | 100.0% | | | MEDIAN: | 0.00%_ |
| | | SAMPLE SIZE = | 2 | | | | | | | | _ |
| | Tota | I Parcel Count = | . 2 | 2 | | | | | _ | PRD: | 11.11%_ |
| | Pe | rcent of Count = | 100.0% | 100.0% | | | | | C | DD (calc): | #DIV/0! |
| | PROJECT | ED FULL VALUE I | BY AGGRE | GRATE RAT | \$20,800 | \$113,200 | | \$134,000 | | | |
| | BASE VAL | UE OF CLASS = | | _ | \$10,100 | \$56,400 | | \$66,500 | | Sales Only | Non_Sales |
| | Dollar Change = | | | | | | | J ₀ 7,500 | Mean: | 0.00% | 62.86% |
| Percent Change = | | | | | 105.94% | 100.71% | | 101.50% | Count: | 0 | 2 |
| | CLASS RA | TIO = | | | 52.88% | 54.06% | | 53 88% | | | |
| | Total Class | Projection % ch | ange by Me | dian ratio = | | | | 0.00% | = % Chg cla | ass by Medi | an |

Town of Harrison – Other Property Class

| | _ | | | | | | • | porty | - Olde | | 1 |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|------------|----------|
| DISPERSION A | | | r. HARRI | | | | | | | | |
| | : SHARON | M | IONTH: | 0 | | YEAR: | 2004 | | API | PRAISER: | J. PENDI |
| Date Entered | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | : J. HARRISC | DN CC | UNTY: | GRANT | | CLASS: | OTHER | | ASSESSMEN | T YEAR: | 2004 |
| | E: 1 020 | | | | | | | | | | |
| SAMPLE | Sale | Sale | Ass | essment | / | Appraisal | Assessment | Appraisal _ | | RATIO | |
| NO. NAME/PI | | Price | and | lmp | Land | lmp | Total | Total | LAND | IMP. | TOTAL |
| 4 524 | | 119,200 | | 41,100 | | 119,500 | | 119,500 | 0.00% | 34.39% | 34.39 |
| 1 73 | Aug-02 | 89,500 | | 42,400 | | 89,500 | | 89,500 | 0.00% | 47.37% | 47.37 |
| 7 63 | | | | 33,200 | | 65,000 | 33,200 | 65,000 | 0.00% | 51.08% | 51.08 |
| 3 440-001 |) Feb-03 | 51,700 | | 27,000 | | 51,700 | 27,000 | 51,700 | 0.00% | 52.22% | 52.22 |
| 24 890 | | | | 47,300 | | 84,900 | 47,300 | 84,900 | 0.00% | 55.71% | 55.71 |
| 29 719-001 |) | | | 36,500 | | 65,300 | 36,500 | 65,300 | 0.00% | 55.90% | 55.90 |
| 9 191 | | | | 34,700 | | 59,700 | 34,700 | 59,700 | 0.00% | 58.12% | 58.12 |
| 12 325 | | | | 73,900 | | 122,000 | | 122,000 | 0.00% | 60.57% | 60.57 |
| 6 74 | | | | 65,600 | | 108,200 | | 108,200 | 0.00% | 60.63% | 60.63 |
| 30 836 | | | | 48,700 | | 74,900 | | 74,900 | 0.00% | 65.02% | 65.02 |
| 25 25 | | | | 80,600 | | 117,600 | 80,600 | 117,600 | 0.00% | 68.54% | 68.54 |
| 5 15 | | | | 95,400 | | 138,700 | | 138,700 | 0.00% | 68.78% | 68.78 |
| 11 280 | | | | 68,900 | | 99,700 | | 99,700 | 0.00% | 69.11% | 69.11 |
| 19 679 | | | | 45,800 | | 65,300 | | 65,300 | 0.00% | 70.14% | 70.14 |
| 18 644 | | | | 47,200 | | 64,400 | 47,200 | 64,400 | 0.00% | 73.29% | 73.29 |
| 14 362 | | | | 22,500 | | 30,000 | 22,500 | 30,000 | 0.00% | 75.00% | 75.00 |
| 15 499 | | | | 81,200 | | 103,300 | | 103,300 | 0.00% | 78.61% | 78.61 |
| 10 192 | | | | 78,000 | | 97,700 | 78,000 | 97,700 | 0.00% | 79.84% | 79.84 |
| 13 340 | | | | 6,100 | | 7,500 | 6,100 | 7,500 | 0.00% | 81.33% | 81.33 |
| 16 528 | | | | 98,000 | | 109,200 | 98,000 | 109,200 | 0.00% | 89.74% | 89.74 |
| 2 103-001 | 0 Apr-03 | 25,700 | | 23,500 | | 25,700 | | 05.700 | 0.0070 | 04 4400 | 91.44 |
| TOTAL | 5 Hpi-00 | 20,100 | 0 | 1,097,600 | | 1,699,800 | 1,097,600 | 1,699,800 | 0.00% | 64.57% | 64.57 |
| | s. SAMPLE C | omparisor | _ | Improved | Ŭ | ,,000,000 | Total | 1,000,000 | | GREGATE | |
| Total Class A | | ompaniooi | 0 | 6,594,400 | | | 6,594,400 | | | MEAN: | 66.04 |
| | nt of Value = | | 0.0% | 16.6% | | - | 16.6% | | | MEDIAN: | 68.54 |
| | MPLE SIZE = | 21 | 0.070 | 10.076 | | | 10.076 | | | HEDIOIN. | 30.34 |
| | rcel Count = | | 0 | 161 | | | I | | | PRD: | 102.27 |
| | nt of Count = | | 0.0% | 13.0% | | | | | | DD (calc): | 16.41 |
| | ED FULL VAI | LIE BY AG | | | \$0 | \$10,212,400 | | \$10,212,400 | | ro (calo). | 10.41 |
| | ALUE OF CLA | | ONLON | - NAID- | \$0 | \$7,213,900 | | \$7,213,900 | | Sales Only | Non Sel |
| | ar Change = | - | | | \$0 | \$2,998,500 | | \$2,998,500 | Mean: | 56.36% | 68.32 |
| | ent Change = | | | | 0.00% | | | 41.57% | Count: | 30.30 % | |
| CLASS F | | | | | 0.00% | | | 64.57% | Count. | 4 | |
| Total Class Project | | hu Madian | ratio - | | 0.00% | 04.57% | | | = % Chg clas | a hu Madir | n |
| ji otal Class Project | on wornange | by Median | ratio = | | | | | 33.30% | - % ong das | s by Media | ai (|

Field Review Cycle

- Grant County 2005 (applied)
- lowa County 2005 (applied)
- Crawford County -2006 (to be applied)
- Vernon County 2006 (to be applied)
- Lafayette Co. 2007 (to be applied)
- Richland County 2007 (to be applied)

History of Equalized Value Changes (1995 – 2005)

| | Total Change | Avg. per Yr. |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|
| Grant | 68.5% | 6.2% |
| Lafayette | 41.9% | 3.8% |
| Richland | 79.0% | 7.2% |
| lowa | 75.0% | 6.8% |
| Crawford | 79.5% | 7.2% |
| Vernon | 80.8% | 7.3% |
| State | 87.1% | 7.9% |

Town of Harrison – 2005 Major Class Comparison

WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE (TMINBOOS) DATE: 10/24/05 BURKAU OF KOUALIZATION PAGE: 404 MAJOR CLASS COMPARISON FOR 2005 76 SOUTHERN AREA COUNTY 22 GRANT TOWN 020 HARRISON CLASS MAJOR CLASS LEVEL OF ALL MAJOR MAJOR CLASSIST TOTAL ASSESSED TOTAL STATE % OF TOTAL ASSESS CLASSES IN OUT OF VALUE PROPERTY CLASS VALUE (DOLLARS) VALUE (DOLLARS) (%) COMPLIANCE COMPLIANCE 2005 NON-COMPLIANCE 1-RESIDENTIAL 5.991.200 10.529.400 34.87 56, 90 1 72,200 140,700 . 47 51.31 NOTICE 2-COMMERCIAL 4-AGRICULTURAL 1,945,300 2,775,300 5-SUM OF 5,5M,6,7 8,347,200 16,426,300 P-PERSONAL 230,000 328,500 *T-TOTAL 16,585,900 30,200,200 *** 70.09 54.39 50.82 5-5M-6-7 70.02 1.09 54.92 **T-TOTAL 100.00 2004 1-RESIDENTIAL 5,835,900 6,915,700 30.46 84.39 2-COMMERCIAL 72,200 66,500 . 29 108.57 2,788,100 12,639,400 2,358,100 *** 84.58 4-AGRICULTURAL 8,752,500 5-SUM OF 5.5M.6.7 55.68 69.25 5-5M-6-7248.000 291,800 1.29 84.99 P-PERSONAL **T-TOTAL 17,266,700 22,701,500 100.00 76.06 MO 2003 1-RESIDENTIAL 5,575,800 6,209,800 30.49 89.79 2-COMMERCIAL 72,200 64,500 .32 111.94 *** 90.83 4-AGRICULTURAL 2.499.400 2.751.800 5-SUM OF 5,6,7 8,891,880 11,211,200 55.05 79.31 5-6-7 173,400 127,100 136.43 P-PERSONAL . 62 **T-TOTAL 17,212,680 20,364,400 84.52 NO 100.00 27.85 94.03 2002 1-RESIDENTIAL 5,267,500 5,602,100 72,200 2-COMMERCIAL 62,600 .31 115.34 3,513,600 3,667,700 8,737,580 10,632,600 144,000 151,600 4-AGRICULTURAL *** 95.80 5-SUM OF 5,6,7 52.85 82.18 5-6-7 P-PERSONAL .75 94.99 17,734,880 20,116,600 88.16 **T-TOTAL 100.00 **TOTAL INCLUDES AG. LAND VALUE FOR THE PURPOSE OF CALCULATING MAJOR CLASS % OF TOTAL ***AGRICULTURAL CLASS OF PROPERTY IS NOT CONSIDERED A MAJOR CLASS PER STATUTE

Town of Harrison - Residential Class Property

| 1 | CODE: | 020 ' | 1 | 1 | ' | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
|--------|--------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|
| SAMPLE | | Sale | Sale | Asse | ssment | App | raisal | Assessment | Appraisal | | RATIO | |
| NO. | NAME/PIC | Date | Price | Land | lmp | Land | Imp | Total | Total | LAND | IMP. | TOTAL |
| 10 | 404 | | | 2,000 | 41,700 | 16,900 | 87,000 | 43,700 | 103,300 | 11.83% | 47.93% | 42.06% |
| | * 510 | | | 10,700 | 12,700 | 36,000 | 18,000 | 23,400 | 54,000 | 29.72% | 70.56% | 43.33% |
| | 286 | | | 7,600 | 29,500 | 22,200 | 63,300 | 37,100 | 85,500 | 34.23% | 46.60% | 43.39% |
| | 715 | Sep-03 | 91,300 | 3,100 | 37,400 | 16,000 | 75,300 | 40,500 | 91,300 | 19.38% | 49.67% | 44.36% |
| 3 | 856 | Jul-03 | 147,600 | 7,000 | 63,000 | 16,900 | 130,700 | 70,000 | 147,600 | 41.42% | 48.20% | 47.43% |
| 18 | 685-0010 | | | 7,000 | 93,000 | 16,900 | 172,600 | 100,000 | 189,500 | 41.42% | 53.88% | 52.77% |
| | 222 | | | 7,000 | 51,900 | 16,900 | 32,200 | 58,900 | 109,100 | 41.42% | 56.29% | 53.99% |
| | 497 | | | 7,000 | 48,300 | 16,900 | 84,100 | 55,300 | 101,000 | 41.42% | 57.43% | 54.75% |
| 24 | 670 | | | 7,000 | 91,100 | 16,900 | 161,100 | 98,100 | 178,000 | 41.42% | 56.55% | 55.11% |
| 20 | 805 | | | 7,000 | 89,700 | 16,900 | 157,100 | 96,700 | 174,000 | 41.42% | 57.10% | 55.57% |
| 16 | 5 93 | | | 7,000 | 76,100 | 16,900 | 132,400 | 83,100 | 149,300 | 41.42% | 57.48% | 55.66% |
| 8 | 260 | | | 9,000 | 75,300 | 29,300 | 121,900 | 84,300 | 151,200 | 30.72% | 61.77% | 55.75% |
| 12 | 1 03 | | | 10,500 | 88,000 | 33,300 | 143,100 | 98,500 | 176,400 | 31.53% | 61.50% | 55.84% |
| 26 | 837 | | | 7,200 | 39,800 | 18,400 | 65,000 | 47,000 | 83,400 | 39.13% | 61.23% | 56.35% |
| 15 | 527-0010 | | | 5,200 | 82,200 | 12,600 | 139,100 | 87,400 | 151,700 | 41.27% | 59.09% | 57.61% |
| 5 | 109-0020 | | | 3,600 | 51,500 | 9,100 | 85,500 | 55,100 | 94,600 | 39.56% | 60.23% | 58.25% |
| 4 | 37 | | | 7,000 | 59,300 | 16,900 | 96,100 | 66,300 | 113,000 | 41.42% | 61.71% | 58.67% |
| | 620 | | | 7,000 | 23,500 | 16,900 | 34,200 | 30,500 | 51,100 | 41.42% | 68.71% | 59.69% |
| 19 | 693 | | | 11,000 | 107,400 | 37,300 | 134,900 | 118,400 | 172,200 | 29.49% | 79.61% | 68.76% |
| 1 | 593-0010 | May-03 | 101,400 | 8,900 | 73,600 | 28,800 | 72,600 | 82,500 | 101,400 | 30.90% | 101.38% | 81.36% |
| 7 | 226-0010 | | | 7,500 | 102,500 | 21,100 | 105,700 | 110,000 | 126,800 | 35.55% | 96.97% | 86.75% |
| | TOTAL | E | 1 | 149,300 | 1,337,500 | 433,100 | 2,171,900 | 1,486,800 | 2,605,000 | 34.47% | 61.58% | 57.07% |

Town of Harrison - Residential Class Property

| DISP | ERSION AN Technician: S | | T. HARRISO MONTH: | NC | | YEAR: | 2004 | | API | - PRAISER: | I PENDI |
|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|---------|
| | Date Entered: 1 | | COUNTY: | | | | RESIDENTIAL | | ASSESSME | | |
| SAMPLE | CODE: " 0: : | 20 Sale _. | Asses | sment | Appr | aisal | _ Assessment | Appraisal | | RATIO | |
| <u>NO.</u> | NAME/PID | Date | Land | lmp | Land | Imp | Total | Total | LAND | <u>IMP</u> _ | TOTAL |
| | TOTAL | | 149,300 | 1,337,500 | 433,100 | 2,171,900 | 1,486,800 | 2,605,000 | 34.47% | 61.58% | 57.07% |
| | CLASS vs. SAMP | LE Comparisc | | Improved | .00,.00 | 2,,555 | Total | 2,000,000 | | GREGATE | 57.07% |
| | | Asmt. Value = | 650,800 | 5,185,100 | | | 5,835,900 | | | MEAN: | 56.55% |
| | Perc | ent of Value = | 22.9% | 25.8% | | | 25.5% | | | MEDIAN: | 55.66% |
| | | AMPLE SIZE = | 21 | | | | | | | | |
| | | 'arcel Count= | 100 | 90 | | | | | | PRD: | 99.07% |
| | | ent of Count = | 21.0% | | | | | | C | OD (calc): | 12.51% |
| | PROJECTED FU | | GGREGRA | ATE RATIO = | | \$8,419,800 | | \$10,307,700 | | | |
| | BASE VALUE OF | CLASS = | | | \$685,100 | \$6,230,600 | _ | \$6,915,700 | | Sales OnlyN | |
| | | llar Change = | | | \$1,202,800 | \$2,189,200 | | \$3,392,000 | Mean: | 57.72% | 56.35% |
| | | ent Change = | | | 175.57% | | | 49.05% | | 3 | 18 |
| | CLASS RATIO = | | | | 34.47% | 61.58% | | 56.62% | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 51.61% | _ = % Chg c | lass by Med | lian |